

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,948	12/28/2001	Thomas A. Gordon	P48-1303-1	9367
7:	590 09/08/2003			
McCormick, Paulding & Huber City Place II 185 Asylum Street Hortford CT, 06103 2403			EXAMINER	
			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
Hartford, CT 06103-3402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				8			
.	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/034,948	GORDON, THO	GORDON, THOMAS A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Susanna M. Diaz	3623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHOTHE No. 2 Exter after - If the - If NO Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu vill apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed im of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-fina	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
• • •	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	on.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> are subject to restriction and/or e on Papers	election requiremen	t.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep		to by the Examiner.				
,0,0	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	•				
11) 🗍 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on		·				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
• • •	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	l Stage			
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure.cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •					
Attachment		, , ,	5 0				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> .	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No stice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/034,948

Art Unit: 3623

.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-61, drawn to a system for allowing a customer to view, sample, and purchase a product, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
 - II. Claims 62-64 and 68, drawn to a method for collecting personal preference information from a customer and targeting products based on the customer's preference information, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
 - III. Claims 65-67, drawn to a method for setting up privileged access with manipulation means to personal information for privileged users, classified in class 705, subclass 1.

(Please note that there is no antecedent basis for "said wallpaper product" in line 1 of claim 56. For examination purposes, claim 56 is interpreted as being dependent from claim 55 instead of claim 1. Furthermore, claim 63 is a method claim, yet it recites that it is dependent from system claim 19. For examination purposes, claim 63 is interpreted as being dependent from method claim 62 instead of claim 19. Please correct and/or clarify in response to the instant Office action.)

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does

Application/Control Number: 10/034,948

Art Unit: 3623

4

not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I allows a customer to select a product without targeting a product to the customer based on the customer's personal preference information. The subcombination has separate utility such as targeting any type of content, such as electronic newspapers, to a customer based on his/her personal preference information.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I allows a customer to select a product without setting up privileged access with manipulation means to personal information for privileged users. The subcombination has separate utility such as setting up an access-controlled database (storing any type of data) with read-only and write-enabled features for various users.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/034,948

Art Unit: 3623

in,

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention III allows one to set up privileged access with manipulation means to personal information for privileged users. The subcombination has separate utility such as targeting any type of content, such as electronic newspapers, to a customer based on his/her personal preference information.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was not attempted because the requirement for restriction is complex. See MPEP § 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,948 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703)746-7048 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 22202, 7th floor receptionist.

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

September 5, 2003